Case 1:07-cv-05045-AKH	Document 1	Filed 05/15/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K	21 MG 100 (A 1717)	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
ERIC QUERE AND JENNIFER QUERE		DOCKET NO.	
Plai	ntiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SH	ORT FORM")
		COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	
- against -			
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvi 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Co			_
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in t instant Phintiff(s) as if fully set forth h Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These and specific case information is set forth	erein in additio e are marked w	on to those paragraphs an '☑' if applicable	specific to the individual

Plaintiffs, ERIC QUERE AND JENNIFER QUERE, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

citizen of N	New York residing at 8 Mayfa	,	red Plaintiff"), is an individual a Y 11725-0000.	
		(OR)		
2.	Alternatively, \square	is the	of Decedent	
	, and brings this claim	n in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of	

Ca	se 1:07-cv-05045-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. York residing Injured Plaint	g at 8 Mayfair Terrace, Commack, NY tiff:	Iter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 11725-0000, and has the following relationship to the herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff
	ERIC QUERE, and brings this	s derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff ERIC QUERE.
4. Police Depart	In the period from 9/12/2001 to 11/20 tment (NYPD) as a Police Officer at:	/2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York
i	Please be as specific as possible when fi	illing in the following dates and locations
=====================================	Trade Center Site	☐ The Barge
	<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about;
Enom on on al	hout 0/12/2001 until 11/20/2001	Approximately hours per day; for
	bout _9/12/2001_ until _11/20/2001_; ly _15_ hours per day; for	Approximately days total.
	ly <u>7</u> days total.	☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
□ The New	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured
	bout until,	plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the
Approximate Approximate	ly hours per day; for ly days total.	dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
	Kills Landfill	From on or about;
From on or al	bout;	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total;
Approximate	ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
	<u> </u>	apper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	✓ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
\square is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS CORP
□ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE CEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLIFE EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ ES DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ OTHER:

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	C
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-05045-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	bunded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specification Act of 2001, (or); Federal Officers of Contested, by the contested of Section of Sec	Jurisdi ut the U.S.C	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441.		
of lial law:	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:				
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation		
\sqrt	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 		
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined		
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death		
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff		

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-05045-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Cardiovascular Injury: Chest Pain Date of onset: 2/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
✓	Respiratory Injury: Asthma; Cough; Dyspnea; Sinus and/or Nasal Problems; Wheezing Date of onset: 2/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 2/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

damages:		
 √	Pain and suffering	
√	Loss of the enjoyment of life	
✓	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	
√	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation	
√	Other: ✓ Mental anguish ✓ Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York April 25, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Eric Quere and Jennifer Quere

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

April 25, 2007

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
ERIC QUERE (AND WIFE, JENNIFER QUERE),
Plaintiff(s)
- against -
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
Defendant(s).
SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
To Attorney(s) for
Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
Attorney(s) for
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
☐ NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
That an order of which the within is a true cowill be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated,
Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP